Inevitability and Operational Status of the Gravitational Coupling in Scalar Potential Geometry

Jordan G. Farrell
Independent Researcher
jgfquantum@gmail.com
ORCID: 0009-0002-2171-809X

January 2026

Abstract

The exterior inverse–square form of Newtonian gravity is tightly constrained by symmetry and the harmonic character of the vacuum potential. We present a compact derivation showing that, under standard assumptions of spherical symmetry and asymptotic flatness, the exterior acceleration field necessarily takes the form $\mathbf{a}(r) = -K\,r^{-2}\hat{\mathbf{r}}$, where the radial scaling is fixed while the overall normalization K remains undetermined by the vacuum field equation. We then clarify how the experimentally defined gravitational coupling enters through operational calibration of source strength rather than through the vacuum potential equation itself. The treatment remains within conventional weak-field physics and does not introduce new gravitational dynamics. Implications for how any effective variation of the coupling would have to appear within this framework are briefly noted.

1 Introduction

In Newtonian gravity, the acceleration field produced by an isolated mass is written

$$\mathbf{a}(r) = -\frac{GM}{r^2}\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \tag{1}$$

where G is introduced as a universal coupling constant and M is the calibrated source mass. In weak-field General Relativity, gravitational effects are described geometrically, yet an overall coupling still appears when relating matter content to curvature. The numerical value of G is determined empirically and is not fixed by the vacuum field equations themselves.

From a structural perspective, however, the inverse-square radial dependence in Eq. (1) is already strongly constrained by symmetry and by the harmonic character of the vacuum potential. This motivates a careful separation between

1. the radial form of the exterior field implied by vacuum closure and symmetry, and
2. the overall normalization required to map a calibrated source strength to a measured acceleration.

The purpose of the present work is not to modify Newtonian gravity or weak-field relativity, but to make this separation explicit in a compact and pedagogically useful way. We show that the exterior r^{-2} scaling arises inevitably from Laplacian geometry under spherical symmetry, while the overall normalization enters through source calibration. This clarifies the structural role of the gravitational coupling within the standard potential framework.

2 Scalar Potential and Vacuum Closure

Let $\Theta(\mathbf{x})$ denote a static scalar potential defined on Euclidean space. Test-particle motion in the weak-field, low-velocity limit is governed by

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla\Theta(\mathbf{x}), \tag{2}$$

which serves as the operational definition of the acceleration field.

In vacuum regions exterior to a compact source, we assume the standard harmonic condition

$$\nabla^2\Theta(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \tag{3}$$

which is the usual Newtonian vacuum field equation. No modification of the field dynamics is introduced.

We additionally impose the physically standard boundary conditions:

1. asymptotic flatness: $\nabla\Theta \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$,
2. regularity for $r > 0$ in the exterior region,
3. spherical symmetry for an isolated compact source.

These assumptions are conventional and do not introduce new physics; they are precisely those used in standard potential theory. Under them, the exterior solution structure becomes highly constrained, as shown next.

3 Spherical Symmetry and the Inverse-Square Field

Under spherical symmetry, the potential depends only on the radial coordinate, $\Theta = \Theta(r)$. The Laplace equation (3) becomes

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{d\Theta}{dr}\right) = 0. \tag{4}$$

Integrating once yields

$$r^2\frac{d\Theta}{dr} = C_1, \tag{5}$$

where C_1 is constant throughout the exterior region. A second integration gives the general exterior solution

$$\Theta(r) = \Theta_\infty - \frac{K}{r}, K > 0 \tag{6}$$

where Θ_∞ is fixed by the asymptotic normalization and $K = C_1$ is an integration constant determined by the source calibration.

Taking the gradient according to Eq. (2) yields the acceleration field

$$\mathbf{a}(r) = -\nabla\Theta(r) = -\frac{K}{r^2}\,\hat{\mathbf{r}}. \tag{7}$$

Thus the inverse-square radial dependence of the exterior acceleration field follows directly from harmonic closure together with spherical symmetry and asymptotic flatness. The vacuum field equation fixes the radial scaling uniquely while leaving the overall normalization K undetermined.

In standard Newtonian notation, the identification $K = GM$ recovers Eq. (1). The derivation above makes explicit that the r^{-2} dependence is structurally inevitable under the stated assumptions, whereas the proportionality constant enters through the mapping between the calibrated source strength and the measured acceleration.

4 Operational Status of the Gravitational Coupling

Equation (7) shows that the exterior field structure is fully determined up to a single multiplicative constant K. The vacuum Laplace equation together with the imposed boundary conditions fixes the radial dependence uniquely but does not determine the normalization.

In experimental practice, the gravitational constant G is introduced through the calibration relation

$$K = GM, \tag{8}$$

where M is the operationally defined source mass. Substituting Eq. (8) into Eq. (7) recovers the familiar Newtonian form.

From this perspective, the vacuum field equation determines the geometric structure of the exterior field, while the numerical value of G enters through the measurement convention used to relate source calibration to observed acceleration. The field equation alone cannot fix G.

This observation does not modify Newtonian gravity or weak-field relativity. Rather, it clarifies the logical separation between

1. the symmetry-constrained exterior field structure, and
2. the empirically determined proportionality between calibrated source strength and measured acceleration.

Within the standard framework, any physical mechanism that would produce an effective variation in the inferred coupling would have to appear through one of the following channels:

1. a change in the operational calibration of source mass,

2. a change in the asymptotic normalization convention for the potential, or
3. a departure from strict vacuum harmonic closure in regions treated as exterior.

The present analysis does not predict such effects; it only identifies the structural locations within the standard theory where they would have to enter if claimed.

5 Relation to Weak-Field Relativity

In the weak-field limit of General Relativity, the spacetime metric may be written in the form

$$g_{00} \approx -\left(1 + \frac{2\Phi}{c^2}\right), \tag{9}$$

where Φ is the Newtonian gravitational potential. The nonrelativistic equation of motion derived from the geodesic equation then reduces to

$$\mathbf{a} = -\nabla\Phi, \tag{10}$$

in the low-velocity limit.

Identifying the scalar potential used in the present treatment via

$$\Theta = \frac{\Phi}{c^2}, \tag{11}$$

one obtains

$$\mathbf{a} = -c^2\nabla\Theta, \tag{12}$$

which is consistent with Eq. (2) up to the conventional normalization between Θ and Φ. In this sense, the scalar-potential formulation provides a direct bridge between the Newtonian limit and the weak-field relativistic description.

The analysis presented above therefore does not alter the standard weak-field correspondence. It instead makes explicit that the inverse-square spatial structure follows from harmonic closure and symmetry, while the coupling normalization arises through the empirical mapping between calibrated source strength and observed acceleration.

6 Conclusion

We have presented a compact structural analysis of the exterior gravitational field within the standard scalar potential framework. Under conventional assumptions of spherical symmetry, asymptotic flatness, and vacuum harmonic closure, the exterior acceleration field is necessarily of inverse-square form. The radial dependence follows directly from Laplacian geometry and does not depend on additional dynamical assumptions.

The derivation makes explicit that the vacuum field equation determines the spatial structure of the exterior solution up to an overall multiplicative constant. The familiar identification of this constant with the product GM enters through the operational calibration that relates source strength to measured acceleration. In this sense, the coupling normalization reflects measurement convention layered on top of a symmetry-constrained field structure.

No modification of Newtonian gravity or weak-field relativity has been introduced. The present treatment is intended to clarify the logical separation between geometric inevitability and empirical normalization within the standard potential description. This perspective may be useful pedagogically and may help sharpen interpretation in discussions of precision gravitational measurements and possible effective coupling variability.

Because the exterior r^{-2} structure follows directly from harmonic closure and symmetry, any statistically significant and reproducible deviation from inverse-square behavior in a verified vacuum regime, or any time variation of the empirically calibrated normalization inconsistent with the bounds summarized in Appendix B, would directly falsify the structural framework described here.

References

[1] I. Newton, *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica* (Royal Society, London, 1687).

[2] P. S. Laplace, *Mécanique Céleste*, Vol. I (Courcier, Paris, 1799).

[3] W. Rindler, *Essential Relativity*, 2nd ed. (Springer, New York, 1977).

[4] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).

[5] A. Einstein, Ann. Phys. **49**, 769 (1916).

[6] B. Schutz, *A First Course in General Relativity*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

[7] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (Wiley, New York, 1972).

[8] C. M. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics*, revised ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).

[9] T. Quinn, H. Parks, C. Speake, and R. Davis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 101102 (2013).

[10] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1999).

[11] C. M. Will, Living Rev. Relativ. **17**, 4 (2014).

A Uniqueness of the Exterior Harmonic Solution

For completeness, we summarize the uniqueness structure underlying the exterior solution.

Let $\Theta(\mathbf{x})$ satisfy

$$\nabla^2\Theta = 0 \tag{13}$$

in the exterior of a bounded source region. Assume:

1. Θ is twice continuously differentiable in the exterior region,
2. $\nabla\Theta \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$,
3. spherical symmetry holds outside the source.

Under spherical symmetry, $\Theta = \Theta(r)$ and

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{d\Theta}{dr}\right) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Define

$$u(r) \equiv r^2\frac{d\Theta}{dr}. \tag{15}$$

Then $du/dr = 0$, so $u(r) = C_1$ and

$$\Theta(r) = \Theta_\infty - \frac{K}{r}. \tag{16}$$

Standard potential theory guarantees this is the unique spherically symmetric harmonic solution compatible with asymptotic flatness, up to the normalization constant K.

B Numerical and Observational Consistency Check

To provide a concrete numerical anchor for the preceding structural analysis, we verify that the exterior normalization inferred from Eq. (7) reproduces standard gravitational accelerations when the empirical identification $K = GM$ is imposed.

B.1 Solar Surface Gravity

For the Sun, the standard parameters are

$$G = 6.67430 \times 10^{-11}\ \mathrm{m^3\,kg^{-1}\,s^{-2}}, \tag{17}$$

$$M_\odot = 1.9885 \times 10^{30}\ \mathrm{kg}, \tag{18}$$

$$R_\odot = 6.9634 \times 10^{8}\ \mathrm{m}. \tag{19}$$

Using Eq. (7) with $K = GM_\odot$, the predicted surface acceleration is

$$a_\odot = \frac{GM_\odot}{R_\odot^2}. \tag{20}$$

Substitution yields

$$a_\odot \approx 274\ \mathrm{m\,s^{-2}}, \tag{21}$$

which agrees with the standard solar surface gravity to within published parameter uncertainties.

B.2 Earth Surface Gravity

As a second check, consider Earth with

$$M_\oplus = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} \text{ kg}, \tag{22}$$
$$R_\oplus = 6.371 \times 10^{6} \text{ m}. \tag{23}$$

The predicted surface acceleration is

$$a_\oplus = \frac{GM_\oplus}{R_\oplus^2}. \tag{24}$$

Numerically,

$$a_\oplus \approx 9.82 \text{ m}\,\text{s}^{-2}, \tag{25}$$

consistent with the standard terrestrial gravitational acceleration.

B.3 Interpretive Note

These numerical checks do not introduce new physics; rather, they demonstrate explicitly that the structural separation emphasized in the main text reproduces observed accelerations once the empirically calibrated normalization $K = GM$ is supplied. The inverse-square radial dependence derived from harmonic closure is therefore fully consistent with precision gravitational phenomenology.

Future improvements in measurements of G, planetary masses, or radii would map directly into the normalization constant without altering the geometric inevitability of the exterior r^{-2} field.

C Post-Newtonian Sensitivity to a Time-Varying Coupling

Although the present work does not posit a variation of the gravitational coupling, it is useful to quantify how such a variation would manifest within standard weak-field phenomenology. This provides an explicit observational hook linking the structural discussion of the main text to precision gravitational tests.

C.1 Orbital Response to $\dot{G} \neq 0$

In Newtonian two-body dynamics, the semimajor axis a of a Keplerian orbit satisfies

$$n^2 a^3 = G(M + m), \tag{26}$$

where n is the mean motion. Taking a logarithmic time derivative yields

$$2\frac{\dot{n}}{n} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{\dot{G}}{G} + \frac{\dot{M}}{M_{\text{tot}}}, \tag{27}$$

where $M_{\text{tot}} = M + m$.

For systems in which mass variation is negligible on observational timescales, Eq. (27) reduces approximately to

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \approx -\frac{\dot{G}}{G} - \frac{2}{3}\frac{\dot{n}}{n}. \tag{28}$$

In the commonly considered limit where the orbital frequency is tightly constrained observationally, one obtains the leading sensitivity estimate

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \sim -\frac{\dot{G}}{G}. \tag{29}$$

C.2 Current Experimental Bounds

Lunar laser ranging and planetary ephemerides currently constrain any fractional time variation of the gravitational coupling to the level

$$\left|\frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right| \lesssim 10^{-13}\ \text{yr}^{-1}, \tag{30}$$

with precise values depending on the data set and analysis method.

Under Eq. (29), this corresponds to an orbital-scale sensitivity of order

$$\left|\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right| \lesssim 10^{-13}\ \text{yr}^{-1}, \tag{31}$$

well below current direct orbital measurement uncertainties for most solar-system bodies.

C.3 Interpretive Role in the Present Framework

The structural analysis in the main text shows that the inverse-square form of the exterior field follows from harmonic closure and symmetry, while the normalization enters through empirical calibration. The bounds in Eq. (30) therefore constrain any framework in which the effective normalization evolves in time.

The present work does not predict a nonzero $\dot{G}$. Rather, the estimate above provides a quantitative bridge between the structural normalization freedom discussed in the main text and the precision observational regime in which any such effect would have to appear.